2. Transforming Teacher Education: The Muni Education Model's Approach to Teacher Empowerment and Evolving Role

Ashok Thakur,
Founder, Muni International School, West Delhi
Dr. Surendra Pathak,
Professor, School of Philosophy and Theological Studies,
LJ University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat

Abstract

In the 21st century, the role of the teacher is evolving from that of a traditional knowledge dispenser to a facilitator of holistic, student-centred learning. This paradigm shift is essential for promoting learner autonomy, integrating values, and cultivating deeper understanding. This article examines this transformation through the lens of the Muni Education Model, which blends ancient Indian pedagogical wisdom with modern educational innovation. Anchored in the philosophical foundations of Madhyasth Darshan – Sah-Astitvvaad propounded by A. Nagraj, the model empowers teachers through structured systems such as the Parliament System, Self-Competitor Model, and values-based education, repositioning them as conscious facilitators of student development. Drawing on research and implementation practices at Muni International School, the article explores how redefining the teacher's role enhances classroom engagement, improves student outcomes, and revitalises teacher identity. The study underscores that this transformation is not merely a methodological shift but a cornerstone of teacher empowerment and educational reform, offering valuable insights for global efforts to humanise and innovate education.

Keywords: teacher Empowerment, Teacher Development, Muni Education Model, Facilitator Role, Transformative Pedagogy, Student-Centred Learning, Educational Innovation, Reflective Practice, Teacher Education Reform, Values-Based Education

Introduction

Across educational systems worldwide, there is growing recognition that traditional teacher-centred instructional models may be insufficient to prepare students for the complex challenges

of the 21st century.[1] Within this context of educational reform, the transformation of the teacher's role from knowledge transmitter to learning facilitator has emerged as a critical dimension of pedagogical innovation.[2] This shift reflects a deeper understanding of how meaningful learning occurs and responds to changing educational needs in a rapidly evolving global landscape.

The Muni Education Model is not merely a pedagogical innovation but a philosophically grounded framework that draws deeply from Madhyasth Darshan (also known as Sah-Astitvvaad or the Philosophy of Coexistence), propounded by Shri A. Nagaraj. This worldview provides the ontological, epistemological, and ethical foundation for transforming the role of the teacher from an instructor to a facilitator. The Muni Education Model, developed at Muni International School in Delhi, India, offers a comprehensive framework for educational transformation that significantly emphasises reimagining the teacher's role.[3] Thakur explains that teachers are the cornerstone of any educational transformation. While innovative methodologies, curricula, and assessment systems are essential, their effectiveness ultimately depends on the teachers who implement them.[4] This article examines how the Muni model conceptualises and implements the shift from instructor to facilitator as a fundamental component of teacher development and empowerment.

Philosophical Foundations of the Transformed Teacher Role

1. Philosophical Foundation: Madhyasth Darshan (Sah-Astitvvaad)

At the core of *Sah-Astitvvaad* is the belief that **coexistence (sah-astitva)** is the reality of existence and the basis for humane living. This philosophy recognises humans as conscious beings with the potential to live in harmony with nature, society, and the self. Key principles of Madhyasth Darshan guide the teacher's evolving role in the Muni Model:

- **Samvaad (Dialogue):** Learning occurs through *meaningful conversation*, not one-sided instruction. The teacher becomes a facilitator of reflective dialogue rather than a content transmitter.

- **Sambandh (Relationship):** Education is rooted in *meaningful relationships* — teacher-student, student-community, individual-nature. The teacher nurtures this sense of relatedness.

- **Vyavastha (Orderliness):** Human understanding has a natural order and rhythm. The teacher aligns pedagogy with the learner's natural progression — from curiosity to clarity, observation to realisation.

---

[1] Darling-Hammond et al., 2020
[2] Fullan & Langworthy, 2014
[3] Thakur, 2025
[4] Thakur, 2025

- **Sah-Astitva (Coexistence):** Teachers help students perceive wholesome reality, encouraging understanding over competition, harmony over individualism, and responsibility over rights.

"The teacher is no longer a mechanical instructor of fragmented knowledge but a conscious guide who enables clarity, right understanding, and coexistential behaviour." – Adapted from Madhyasth Darshan's insights

2. Constructivist Learning Theory

The Muni Education Model's transformation from instruction to facilitation is grounded in constructivist learning theory, which posits that knowledge is not transmitted but actively constructed by learners through experience, reflection, and social interaction.[5] This perspective challenges the traditional conception of teaching, primarily content delivery, and reconceptualises it as creating conditions for meaningful knowledge construction.

It is noted that the traditional role of a teacher as the central authority and primary source of knowledge in the classroom has dominated education for centuries. The Muni model explicitly rejects this conventional paradigm, aligning with John Dewey's observation that education is not preparation for life; Education is life itself. This philosophical stance recognises that learning occurs through active engagement rather than passive reception—a process requiring a fundamentally different teacher role.

Core Principles

The Muni Education Model's philosophical foundation for transforming the teacher's role rests on several key principles:

1. **Student Agency**: Students learn best when they take ownership of their learning journey, with teachers guiding rather than directing this process. This principle aligns with research demonstrating that learner autonomy correlates with increased motivation, engagement, and academic achievement.[6]

2. **Knowledge Construction**: Learning is conceptualised not as information transfer but as the active construction of understanding through exploration, questioning, and application. Cognitive science research supports this perspective, showing learners build understanding by connecting new information to existing mental models.[7]

3. **Teacher as Guide**: Within this framework, the most valuable role of an educator is not to provide answers but to ask meaningful questions and create environments conducive to discovery, which aligns with Hmelo-Silver's research on inquiry-based learning,

---

[5] Vygotsky, 1978; Piaget, 1976
[6] Ryan & Deci, 2020
[7] Bransford et al., 2000

which demonstrates the effectiveness of guiding questions in promoting critical thinking and conceptual understanding.[8]

4. **Learning Partnership**: The model emphasises a collaborative relationship between teachers and students rather than a hierarchical transmission of knowledge.[9] This principle reflects a contemporary understanding of effective learning environments as communities of practice where knowledge is co-constructed.[10]

These philosophical principles collectively establish the conceptual foundation for transforming the teacher's role from instructor to facilitator. This shift alters teaching techniques, the fundamental nature of the teacher-student relationship, and the learning process itself.

From Instruction to Facilitation: Key Transformations

In alignment with Madhyasth Darshan, the Muni Model positions the teacher as:

(a) Saathi (Companion in Learning)

Rather than imposing knowledge, the teacher walks *alongside* the student, akin to the *Guru-Shishya* relationship envisioned in Vedic education, refined through co-existential understanding.

(b) Drashta (Observer and Guide)

The teacher becomes an *observer of learning needs*, adjusting facilitation based on students' tendencies, learning rhythms, and emotional states, reflecting the *Sahaj Vidhi* (natural method).

(c) A Conscious Being, Not a Technician

The teacher is not a worker performing a task but a sensitive, aware, and reflective individual who embodies the values of justice, trust, and affection, foundational to Sah-Astitvvaad.

The paradigm shift from instruction to facilitation manifests in several significant changes in teacher practices and responsibilities within the Muni Education Model:

(i) Classroom Dynamics

Traditional instructional models typically position the teacher at the front of the classroom, delivering content to relatively passive students. In contrast, facilitator-teachers in the Muni model move throughout the learning space, engaging with individuals and small groups, asking probing questions, and providing targeted guidance. This movement pattern reflects what Lemov (2021) describes as circulation,

---

[8] Hmelo-Silver's (2004)

[9] Thakur, 2025, p. 3

[10] Lave & Wenger, 1991; Wenger, 2018

a high-leverage teaching technique that enables more personalised guidance and real-time assessment.

The physical arrangement of learning spaces similarly transforms: The classroom typically shifts from rows of desks facing a teacher to collaborative groupings supporting peer interaction. This spatial reconfiguration supports the changed interactional patterns and reflects what researchers identify as optimal classroom design for collaborative learning.[11]

(ii) Questioning Techniques

A critical aspect of facilitation involves sophisticated questioning strategies that promote student thinking rather than eliciting predetermined answers. Facilitator-teachers develop questioning strategies that prompt student thinking rather than simply seeking predetermined answers. Questions like 'What do you notice?' 'How might you approach this problem?' and 'What connections do you see?' replace more directive questions with single correct answers.

This approach aligns with research on the cognitive benefits of open-ended questioning. Tofade et al. (2013) demonstrated that higher-order questions promote critical thinking, while Walsh and Sattes (2016) found that wait time and follow-up questioning significantly impact the depth of student responses. The facilitative questioning techniques employed in the Muni model reflect these evidence-based practices.

(iii) Lesson Design

The transformation to facilitation fundamentally changes how teachers approach lesson planning and design. Rather than organising lessons around content transmission, facilitator-teachers design learning experiences that engage students in exploration, problem-solving, and knowledge construction. These experiences often incorporate guided discovery methodology, which provides structure while allowing student-driven inquiry.

This approach to lesson design aligns with what Wiggins and McTighe describe as backwards design—beginning with desired understandings and then creating experiences that lead students to construct those understandings.[12] It also reflects contemporary research on project-based and problem-based learning (Savery, 2015), emphasising structured inquiry experiences rather than direct instruction.

(iv) Assessment Approaches

The facilitative role requires fundamentally different approaches to assessment. Within the Muni model, Assessment shifts from measuring how well students have absorbed

---

[11] Barrett et al. (2019)

[12] Wiggins and McTighe, 2005

teacher-presented information to evaluating students' development of understanding, skills, and capabilities. Moreover, Facilitator-teachers use assessment to guide further learning rather than merely measure achievement.

This approach reflects what Stiggins (2002) terms assessment for learning rather than simply an assessment of learning. This distinction emphasises the formative purpose of assessment in shaping ongoing instruction and learning. It also aligns with Black and Wiliam's research, demonstrating the significant impact of formative assessment practices on student achievement.[13]

Implementation Process

Transforming teachers' professional identity and practice from instructor to facilitator requires a structured implementation process. The Muni Education Model employs a multi-faceted approach to support this significant transition:

(i) Philosophical Grounding

The transformation begins with conceptual understanding: Teachers engage with the philosophical foundations of facilitative teaching through reading, discussion, and reflection. They explore research on constructivist learning theories and examine case studies of effective facilitation. This intellectual engagement with foundational concepts aligns with Guskey's (2002) research, which shows that teachers' conceptual understanding must precede significant changes in practice.

(ii) Observation and Modelling

Direct observation plays a crucial role in helping teachers visualise facilitative approaches: Teachers observe experienced facilitators in action, either through classroom visits or video analysis. These observations are followed by debriefing discussions highlighting key facilitation strategies and techniques. This practice reflects what Bandura (1977) identified as observational learning or modelling—a powerful mechanism for acquiring complex behavioural patterns.

(ii) Graduated Implementation

The transition to facilitation occurs incrementally rather than all at once: Teachers begin by incorporating facilitative approaches into portions of their teaching day, gradually expanding as they build confidence and skill. That might start with a single subject area or a specific part of the daily schedule; this graduate approach aligns with teacher change research, indicating that successful Implementation typically involves small, manageable steps rather than wholesale transformation.[14]

---

[13] Black and Wiliam's, 2009
[14] Fullan, 2007

(iv) Coaching and Feedback

Personalised support accelerates facilitation skills development: Instructional coaches or mentors observe teachers and provide specific feedback on their facilitation techniques. This coaching focuses on questioning strategies, student engagement, responsive guidance, and key facilitation skills. Knight (2007) has demonstrated that instructional coaching significantly improves the Implementation of new teaching practices, particularly when the coaching includes modelling, observation, and specific feedback.

(v) Reflection and Refinement

Ongoing reflection catalyses continuous improvement in facilitation practice: Teachers regularly reflect on their facilitation practice, identifying successful strategies and areas for growth. Collaborative reflection with colleagues enhances this process by providing diverse perspectives and insights. This aligns with Schön's (1983) concept of reflective practice and research showing that structured reflection significantly impacts teacher development.[15]

Transformative Outcomes

The shift from instruction to facilitation produces several significant outcomes for both teachers and students within the Muni Education Model:

(i) Enhanced Student Engagement

When teachers facilitate rather than instruct, students typically demonstrate greater interest, motivation, and active participation in learning. This engagement stems from their increased agency and the more dynamic, interactive nature of facilitated learning experiences. These observations align with research by Reeve (2012) demonstrating the positive impact of autonomy-supportive teaching on student engagement and motivation.

(ii) Deeper Learning

The facilitative approach promotes deeper conceptual understanding rather than surface-level knowledge. Students develop stronger connections between ideas, more flexible application of concepts, and greater learning retention. This outcome corresponds with findings from the National Research Council's investigation into deeper understanding, which identified facilitative teaching approaches as essential for developing transferable knowledge and skills.[16]

(iii) Teacher Revitalisation

The transformation to facilitation significantly impacts teacher experience: Many teachers report a renewed sense of purpose and professional satisfaction when they shift to facilitation. The role offers greater creativity, meaningful student interactions, and continuous intellectual stimulation. This professional revitalisation aligns with research on teacher motivation, which

---

[15] Farrell, 2012
[16] Pellegrino & Hilton, 2012

shows that autonomy, mastery, and purpose significantly influence job satisfaction and effectiveness.[17]

(iv) Improved Teacher-Student Relationships

Facilitation transforms educational relationships: The collaborative nature of the facilitator role typically results in stronger, more positive relationships between teachers and students. These relationships are characterised by mutual respect, trust, and shared commitment to learning. The outcome reflects research by Hattie, who identified teacher-student relationships as a significant factor influencing student achievement, with an effect size of 0.72.[18]

(v) Educational Innovation

Teachers who adopt facilitative roles often become more experimental and innovative. Freed from the constraints of traditional instruction, they develop creative approaches to engaging students and addressing learning challenges. This finding aligns with research on teacher agency by Priestley et al. (2015), who found that teachers with greater professional autonomy demonstrate more innovative practices and adaptation to student needs.

Teacher Education Transformation in the Muni Model

The Muni Education Model represents a comprehensive approach to transforming teacher education that extends beyond typical professional development initiatives. This transformation reconceptualises how teachers are prepared, supported, and empowered throughout their careers.

Institutionalising Coexistential Pedagogy in the Muni Model

The Muni Education Model translates Madhyasth Darshan into everyday school practice through:

- **Parliament System** – Students experience democratic co-governance while teachers act as facilitators of collective decision-making.
- **Self-Competitor Model** – Encourages self-observation and self-assessment, removing fear and external pressure.
- **Community Assessment System** – Integrates family, school, and society as co-learners and evaluators, ensuring harmony and shared responsibility.

These innovations shift the teacher's role from enforcing discipline to nurturing understanding, delivering lectures to stimulating reflection, monitoring students, and fostering independent learning. To develop mutual trust and responsibility.

Human-centric Education and Teacher Empowerment

---

[17] Pink, 2011
[18] Hattie 2009

Sah-Astitvvaad envisions a teacher who embodies:

- **Naitikta (Ethical Clarity)** – Integrity in thought and behaviour.
- **Samarthya (Competence)** – Ability to guide students toward holistic clarity.
- **Sah-Astitva-Bodh (Realisation of Coexistence)** – A living example of coexistence-based living.

Such teachers are not produced solely by training but by self-realisation, reflective processes, and values-based dialogue — all of which the Muni Model promotes through daily practices, teacher circles, and a shared learning culture.

Holistic Reconceptualisation of Teacher Education

The Muni model begins with a fundamental reimagining of what teacher education entails. As Thakur emphasises, the Muni Education Model recognises that transforming education requires new approaches to student learning and a fundamental reimagining of the teacher's role, training, collaboration, and well-being. This perspective moves beyond traditional models of teacher preparation that focus primarily on content knowledge and basic pedagogical techniques to embrace a more comprehensive vision of teacher development.

Within this framework, teachers are conceptualised as not merely transmitters of knowledge but facilitators of discovery, researchers of educational practices, collaborators in a learning community, and lifelong learners. This multidimensional professional identity requires a multi-faceted approach to teacher education that develops facilitation skills, research capabilities, collaborative competencies, and personal growth.

The Five Dimensions of Teacher Transformation

The Muni model approaches teacher education through five key dimensions: transforming the teacher's role from instructor to facilitator, providing comprehensive training in Muni methodologies, building a culture of research and development, creating collaborative teaching environments, and supporting teacher well-being and growth. These dimensions are not addressed in isolation but as an integrated system where progress in one area supports development in others.

This integrated approach aligns with Darling-Hammond's (2017) research on effective teacher education, emphasising coherence across different aspects of professional learning rather than fragmented initiatives. The Muni model exemplifies this coherence by ensuring that all dimensions of teacher development are philosophically aligned and mutually reinforcing.

Teacher-Centred Approach to School Transformation

A distinctive feature of the Muni model is its recognition that empowered, well-supported teachers are essential for implementing and sustaining educational change that truly benefits

students. This perspective positions teachers as the primary agents of educational transformation rather than merely the implementers of designed modifications by others.

This teacher-centred approach to school transformation aligns with research by Fullan (2016), demonstrating that educational innovations succeed only when teachers actively participate in change processes rather than being passive recipients of new mandates. The Muni model operationalises this insight by creating conditions where teachers thrive personally and professionally while driving educational innovation.

Professional Identity Development

Developing a transformed professional identity is central to the Muni approach to teacher education, which describes how teachers at Muni International School develop identities as facilitators of discovery, researchers of educational practices, collaborators in a learning community, and lifelong learners. This multi-faceted professional identity contrasts sharply with the more singular role of content expert or classroom manager often emphasised in traditional teacher education.

This focus on professional identity development aligns with research by Beijaard et al. (2004), who found that teachers' conceptions of their professional selves significantly influence their instructional decisions, professional development choices, and responses to educational change. The Muni model recognises that sustainable practice transformation requires corresponding identity transformation.

Creating a Professional Environment for Teacher Growth

The Muni approach to teacher education extends beyond formal training to create a professional environment where teachers thrive personally and professionally. This environment includes structural elements such as collaborative spaces and scheduled time for professional learning, cultural elements such as norms of inquiry and experimentation, and relational elements such as mentoring systems and peer support networks.

This environmental approach reflects research by Kraft and Papay (2014), who found that teachers working in supportive professional environments demonstrated significantly greater skill development over time than those in less supportive contexts, even when their formal professional development experiences were similar. The Muni model exemplifies how teacher education extends beyond discrete learning experiences to encompass the creation of growth-promoting professional ecosystems.

Teacher Development Systems Supporting the Transformation

Building on this comprehensive approach to teacher education transformation, the Muni model implements specific development systems to support the shift from instructor to facilitator. Four interconnected approaches provide the necessary foundation:

Comprehensive Training Framework

Muni International School has developed a comprehensive training framework that prepares teachers to implement innovative methodologies effectively. It includes a foundational workshop series introducing facilitation techniques and other key methods and methodology immersion experiences where teachers experience facilitative approaches from the student perspective.

The framework addresses multiple dimensions of teacher development: methodological understanding, practical implementation skills, integration capabilities, and adaptive application. This multi-faceted approach aligns with Desimone's (2009) core features of effective professional development: content focus, active learning, coherence, duration, and collective participation.

Research and Development Culture

Supporting the shift to facilitation is a culture of research and development (R&D) that transforms teachers from implementers of established practices to active contributors to educational innovation. This culture includes teacher participation in action research, methodology refinement, and innovation incubation.

The R&D culture enables teachers to refine facilitative approaches continuously based on systematic observation and analysis. This approach reflects what Cochran-Smith and Lytle (2009) term inquiry as: positioning teachers as knowledge generators rather than merely knowledge consumers.

Collaborative Teaching Environment

The transformation to facilitation is supported by a collaborative teaching environment, transforming teaching from a solitary endeavour to a shared professional practice. This environment includes structural supports such as physical collaboration spaces, scheduled collaboration time, and teaching teams.

Teachers engage in collaborative practices within this framework, including planning, lesson study, and critical friend groups. These collaborative structures align with research by Hargreaves and O'Connor (2018) on collaborative professionalism, demonstrating how structured collaboration enhances teacher practice and student outcomes.

Well-being and Growth Support

The Muni model recognises that facilitating learning demands significant energy and resilience from teachers. Consequently, it comprehensively supports teachers' physical, emotional, and social health. This includes workload management through student-led systems, administrative efficiency, and protected planning time.

The model also offers multiple pathways for professional growth, including skill development programs, mentoring relationships, and teacher leadership opportunities. This comprehensive

approach to teacher well-being aligns with research by Day and Gu (2014) demonstrating the interconnection between teacher well-being, professional effectiveness, and student outcomes.

Conclusion

The transformation of teacher education, as exemplified by the Muni Education Model, highlights a profound shift—from technical training to the holistic nurturing of educators as conscious, ethical, and collaborative facilitators. Grounded in the philosophy of Madhyasth Darshan – Sah-Astitvvaad, this approach redefines teacher empowerment not as a functional upgrade but as an evolution of professional identity and human understanding. Teachers are envisioned not as instruments of instruction but as co-creators of meaningful learning and living, guiding students toward clarity, harmony, and societal contribution. This vision aligns with the broader aspiration of humanised education, where both teacher and learner grow in mutual respect and shared responsibility, ultimately embodying the ethos of Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam. The success of the Muni Model affirms that educational innovation is inseparable from teachers' personal and philosophical development. It is not tools alone, but transformed teachers who bring change to life in classrooms.

For global educational systems, this model offers a powerful message for fundamental transformation that begins with investing in the teacher, not merely in skills, but in conscious capacity-building rooted in values, relationships, and well-being. Future research may explore how this integrative model of teacher development could be adapted across cultures and contexts, including low-resource settings. Longitudinal studies can further illuminate the sustained impact of such a values-based, reflective model on teachers' professional journeys and student outcomes.

Ultimately, reimagining teacher education as a life-centric, value-infused journey creates the possibility for a more just, inclusive, and harmonious educational future, where teachers emerge not just as implementers but as visionary facilitators and ethical leaders of learning transformation.

References

1. Bandura, A. (1977). Social learning theory. Prentice Hall.
2. Barrett, P., Davies, F., Zhang, Y., & Barrett, L. (2019). The holistic impact of classroom spaces on learning in specific subjects. Environment and Behaviour, 51(4).
3. Beijaard, D., Meijer, P. C., & Verloop, N. (2004). Reconsidering research on teachers' professional identity. Teaching and Teacher Education, 20(2).
4. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009). Developing the theory of formative assessment. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 21(1).
5. Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school. National Academy Press.

6. Cochran-Smith, M., & Lytle, S. L. (2009). Inquiry as stance: Practitioner research for the next generation. Teachers College Press.

7. Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What can we learn from international practice? European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3).

8. Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. Applied Developmental Science, 24(2).

9. Day, C., & Gu, Q. (2014). Resilient teachers, resilient schools: Building and sustaining quality in testing times. Routledge.

10. Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving impact studies of teachers' professional development: Toward better conceptualisations and measures. Educational Researcher, 38(3).

11. Farrell, T. S. (2012). Reflecting on reflective practice: (Re)visiting Dewey and Schön. TESOL Journal, 3(1).

12. Fullan, M. (2007). The new meaning of educational change (4th ed.). Teachers College Press.

13. Fullan, M. (2016). The new meaning of educational change (5th ed.). Teachers College Press.

14. Fullan, M., & Langworthy, M. (2014). A rich seam: How new pedagogies find deep learning. Pearson.

15. Guskey, T. R. (2002). Professional development and teacher change. Teachers and Teaching, 8(3), 381-391.

16. Hargreaves, A., & O'Connor, M. T. (2018). Collaborative professionalism: When teaching together means learning for all. Corwin Press.

17. Hattie, J. (2009). Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge.

18. Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? Educational Psychology Review, 16(3).

19. Knight, J. (2007). Instructional coaching: A partnership approach to improving instruction. Corwin Press.

20. Kraft, M. A., & Papay, J. P. (2014). Can professional environments in schools promote teacher development? Explaining heterogeneity in returns to teaching experience. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 36(4), 476-500.

21. Krajcik, J. S., & Blumenfeld, P. C. (2006). Project-based learning. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences. Cambridge University Press.

22. Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge University Press.

23. Lemov, D. (2021). Teach like a champion 3.0: 63 techniques that put students on the path to college. Jossey-Bass.

24. Pellegrino, J. W., & Hilton, M. L. (Eds.). (2012). Education for life and work: Developing transferable knowledge and skills in the 21st century. National Academies Press.

25. Piaget, J. (1976). Piaget's theory. In B. Inhelder, H. H. Chipman, & C. Zwingmann (Eds.), Piaget and his school. Springer.

26. Pink, D. H. (2011). Drive: The surprising truth about what motivates us. Riverhead Books.

27. Priestley, M., Biesta, G., & Robinson, S. (2015). Teacher agency: An ecological approach. Bloomsbury Academic.

28. Reeve, J. (2012). A self-determination theory perspective on student engagement. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly, & C. Wylie (Eds.), Handbook of research on student engagement. Springer.

29. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Definitions, theory, practices, and future directions. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 61, 101860.

30. Savery, J. R. (2015). Overview of problem-based learning: Definitions and distinctions. In A. Walker, H. Leary, C. Hmelo-Silver, & P. A. Ertmer (Eds.), Essential readings in problem-based learning (pp. 5-16). Purdue University Press.

31. Schön, D. A. (1983). The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action. Basic Books.

32. Stiggins, R. J. (2002). Assessment crisis: The absence of assessment for learning. Phi Delta Kappan, 83(10), 758-765.

33. Thakur, A. (2025) Revolutionising Education: The Muni Model as a Solution to Global Literacy and Numeracy Challenges, DOI: https://doi.org/10.24321/258238926.202504

34. Thakur, A. (2025). The Muni education model: A paradigm shift in education. Chatiner Publication.

35. Tofade, T., Elsner, J., & Haines, S. T. (2013). Best practice strategies for effective use of questions as a teaching tool. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 77(7), 155.

36. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

37. Walsh, J. A., & Sattes, B. D. (2016). Quality questioning: Research-based practice to engage every learner. Corwin Press.

38. Wenger, E. (2018). A social theory of learning. In K. Illeris (Ed.), Contemporary theories of learning (pp. 219-228). Routledge.

39. Wiggins, G. P., & McTighe, J. (2005). Understanding by design. ASCD.